

G. R. S. App. F. IX-4]

1942-

File No. 28-42

Previous References.

1 B

Serial Nos. 24, 25

Later References.

Preserve
1135
2-861

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos.

Part B for Dec. 42

SUBJECT.

Lease deed executed by one
M. Chikka Minnegowda, Shandy
Contractor, Koppa

To,
The P. D. B. Manager.
25392 Dir. 11.42.

Instruct to use new form
of mutchhalika from next
year.

Hei
20.1.43

(1)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

NOTES.

File No. 13-41

FILE HEADING

FROM

Mr. P. B. Maung

No.

Dated

Received

Diary No. 3/91 }

Enclos.

Spare copies

Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Solicits instructions whether the lease deed executed by one Mr. Chikka Shimme Gowda Shandy Contractor, Koppa, Madras may be accepted or not, etc.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

W In G.O. No. 2316-36/LB.13-41-87 of 13-7-1942, a form of Mutchalika was prescribed for executing the lease deeds of collecting fees in shandis.

In this connection, a surety-ship bond ~~has been~~ has also been prescribed and the G.O. is under issue.

The President, P. B. Maung has

3191

(2)

20. the PDB Mandate thru me to

no. d 5392 d 28.11.42

25)

States that the new form of Mutchalika
may be used from next year. -1943

~~SAS B~~
~~30.11.42 M~~
~~No reminders~~
~~necessary~~

B
12/12/42
G.S.
12/12

3191

No.B2.C. 103/42-43.

Office of the President, District Board,
Mandya District,

Encl: one.

Mandya, dated 17th November 1942.

LB
LR 1


28-42-24

From

K. CHIKKALINGIAH, ESQ., B.A., LL.B.,
President, District Board,
Mandya District, M A N D Y A.

To

C. NARAYANASWAMY NAIDU, ESQ., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Law Department, B A N G A L O R E.




Through:

The Deputy Commissioner,
Mandya District, M A N D Y A.

Sir,

Re:- Lease deed executed by one Mr. Chikka Thimmegowda,
shandy contractor, Koppa, Maddur Taluk.

I have the honour to state that one, Mr. Chikka Thimmegowda, shandy contractor, Koppa, Maddur Taluk has executed a lease deed for collection of fees in the shandy at Koppa for the year 42-43 on one rupee stamped paper and registered the same in the Sub-Registrar's Office, Maddur on 27-7-1942. He has paid a sum of Rs.3/- being the registration charges for registering the said lease deed. This lease deed is not in the form prescribed by Government in their order No.L.36-36/L.B.13-41-87, dated 13-7-42. The prescribed forms of mutchhalika were received in this office on 4-8-42 and they were sent to the District Board Sanitary Inspectors in the District on 5-8-42 to get the lease deeds executed by the shandy contractors in this form. The lease deed in question was executed and got registered prior to the date of receipt of the Government Order. If the contractor were to execute the lease deed in the prescribed form again, he may not be willing to bear the extra expenditure as it is no fault of his own and moreover, it will be a hardship to him. In these



P.T.O.

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

the

194

Date of issue..... 28/11/42

Signed by..... Mr. G. K. Rao

List of enclosures.....

Codified by.....

Examined by.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No.

To

Sir,

Subject: Lease deed executed by one Mr. Chikka Thimma Gowda, shandy Contractor, Koppa, Maddur Taluk.

With refce to your letter no Bz-C. 103/42-43 of 17-11-1942, Adic. citing instructions on the above subject, I am directed to state that the new Mutchalika form together with the Surety-ship bond (which is ^{shanty the} being prescribed) may be adopted from ~~next~~ the year 1943-44 onwards. It is therefore ~~not necessary~~ to obtain a fresh bond from the party ~~in question~~ referred in question. ~~Receipt~~ returned. I have etc

For sig. to Govt
Law Dept
ms